

1 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)  
2 MJacobs@mofo.com  
3 ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ (CA SBN 121490)  
AGonzalez@mofo.com  
3 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
4 425 Market Street  
San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
Tel: 415.268.7000 / Fax: 415.268.7522  
5  
6 KAREN L. DUNN (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
kdunn@bsfllp.com  
7 HAMISH P. M. HUME (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
hhume@bsfllp.com  
8 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP  
1401 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
9 Tel: 202.237.2727 / Fax: 202.237.6131  
10 WILLIAM C. CARMODY (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
bcarmody@SusmanGodfrey.com  
11 SHAWN J. RABIN (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
srabin@SusmanGodfrey.com  
12 SUSMAN GODFREY LLP  
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
13 New York, New York 10019  
14 Tel: 212.336.8330 / Fax: 212.336.8340  
15 Attorneys for Defendants  
16 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
and OTTOMOTTO LLC  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,  
Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**DEFENDANTS UBER  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S AND  
OTTOMOTTO LLC'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE  
UNDER SEAL THEIR  
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN  
OPPOSITION TO WAYMO'S MOTION  
IN LIMINE NO. 14 TO EXCLUDE  
EVIDENCE OF LEVANDOWSKI'S  
FAILURE TO COOPERATE**

Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup  
Trial Date: December 4, 2017

Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC (collectively, “Uber”) submit this administrative motion for an order to file under seal exhibits to Uber’s Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Its Supplemental Brief In Opposition To Waymo’s Motion In Limine No. 14. Specifically, Uber requests an order granting leave to file under seal the confidential portions of the following:

<b>Document</b>	<b>Portions to Be Filed Under Seal</b>	<b>Designating Party</b>
Opposition Brief	Yellow-highlighted portions	Non-Party John Gardner

The yellow-highlighted portion of the Opposition Brief contains information that Non-Party John Gardner considers or may consider “Confidential” and/or Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” under the Protective Order, and that Gardner asked Uber to file under seal. (See Goodman Decl., ¶ 3.)

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d)(2), Uber will lodge with the Clerk the documents at issue, with accompanying chamber copies. Uber served Gardner with this motion on October 25, 2017.

For the forgoing reason, Uber request that the Court enter the accompanying Proposed Order granting this administrative motion to file documents under seal and designate the service copies of these documents as “Confidential” and/or Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only.”

Dated: October 25, 2017

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

By: /s/ Karen L. Dunn  
Karen L. Dunn

*Counsel for Defendants*  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND  
OTTOMOTTO LLC